MINUTES - REGULAR MEETING
SANTA MARIA CITY COUNCIL

CITY HALL COUNCIL CHAMBERS
SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA

OCTOBER 7, 2014

INVOCATION:
Pastor Carl Nielsen gave the Invocation.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG:
Councilmember Orach led the Pledge of Allegiance.

CALL TO ORDER:
Mayor Patino called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m.

ROLL CALL:
MEMBERS PRESENT
Councilmembers Boysen, Green, Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino

STAFF MEMBERS PRESENT
City Manager Haydon, City Attorney Trujillo, Director of Community Development Appel, Code Compliance Officer Castaing, Planner III Halvorson, City Librarian Housel, Planning Division Manager Gilli, Police Commander Greene, Fire Chief Orr, Director of Recreation and Parks Posada, Assistant City Attorney Sinco, Director of Utilities Sweet, Deputy City Manager Tse, Director of Administrative Services Visé, Planner II Zayer, and Chief Deputy City Clerk Garietz.

1. PROCLAMATIONS/COMMENDATIONS/INTRODUCTION:

A. PRESENTATION – SERVE SANTA MARIA VIII
Pastor Carl Nielsen gave a presentation on the August 23, 2014, Serve Santa Maria VIII event. He reviewed various projects that were completed during the event, and thanked various staff members, community members, and businesses for their support. He spoke about a workshop scheduled for October 18 at First Christian Church. The speaker will be Eric Swanson, author of the book *To Transform a City.*

B. PROCLAMATION – SHARE THE ROAD MONTH
Councilmember Boysen presented a Proclamation recognizing October 2014 as "Share the Road Month" in the City of Santa Maria. Ashley Ashmore, Tony Gamberutti, Bob Albrecht, and Matthew O'Niell were present representing the cycling community. Tony Gamberutti accepted the Proclamation.

C. PROCLAMATION – COMMUNITY PLANNING MONTH
Councilmember Zuniga presented a Proclamation recognizing October 2014 as "Community Planning Month." Jay Higgins, Subsection Director of the Central Coast Section of the California Chapter of the American Planning Association accepted the Proclamation.

D. PROCLAMATION – FILL THE BOOT FOR MDA DAY
Mayor Patino presented a Proclamation recognizing October 11, 2014 as "Fill the Boot for MDA Day." Firefighter Tim Smallwood and Fire Battalion Chief Widling accepted the Proclamation. Fire Engineer Anthony Morales and Fire Fighter Mike Canales from the Santa Maria Fire Department were also in attendance.

2. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD (NOT TO EXCEED A TOTAL OF 15 MINUTES):
Harry C. Johnson spoke about various issues in his neighborhood and requested assistance from the Police Department and City Council.

Jennifer Karapetian, Deputy District Attorney representing the District Attorney's Office, spoke about an upcoming Traffic Safety and Awareness Memorial Walk scheduled for Saturday, October 11, in Preisker Park and invited the community to attend.

3. CONSENT CALENDAR:
Councilmember Boysen indicated that he would be abstaining from voting on Item 3C (Warrants) due to a financial conflict, and requested to comment on Item 3F (No-stopping Zone on West Morrison Avenue).

3F – No-Stopping Zone at West Morrison.
Councilmember Boysen stated traffic on West Morrison near the Good Samaritan Shelter had increased and so had the amount of pedestrian foot traffic. He said he appreciated the prompt response of the Public Works Department to establish a no-stopping zone in the area to ensure better visibility for drivers and increased safety for pedestrians.

Consent Calendar Items 3A through 3F (except 3C) were approved on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES: Councilmembers Boysen, Green, Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: None

Consent Calendar Item 3C only was approved on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Zuniga, and carried on the following vote: 4-0-1

AYES: Councilmembers Green, Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES: None
ABSENT: None
ABSTAIN: Councilmember Boysen

A. ORDINANCES
The reading in full of all ordinances and resolutions was waived. Ordinances on the Consent Calendar were adopted by the same vote cast at the first reading unless City Council indicated otherwise.

B. MINUTES
The Minutes of the Regular City Council meeting of September 16, 2014, were approved as submitted.

C. WARRANTS
Warrant Nos. 188840 to 189092 totaling $1,693,626 were ordered ratified subject to having been certified as being in conformity with the budget and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services. Warrant No. 188628 was cancelled.

D. PAYROLL
Payment of payroll was ordered ratified subject to having been certified by the proper Department Heads, as shown on records on file in the Department of Administrative Services and having been approved for payment by the Director of Administrative Services.

E. CALL FOR BIDS
The City Council gave approval to call for bids on the plans and specifications for Bid No. 2015/02, Paul Nelson Pool Renovation.

F. NO STOPPING ZONE
Resolution No. 2014-116 was adopted establishing a no-stopping zone at West Morrison Avenue along the northerly right-of-way, beginning one hundred forty four (144) feet west of the westerly right-of-way of Thornburg Street and extending west for forty (40) feet.

Resolution No. 2014-116 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, ESTABLISHING A NO-STOPPING ZONE ON WEST MORRISON AVENUE PURSUANT TO SECTION 7-5.16 OF THE SANTA MARIA MUNICIPAL CODE

PUBLIC HEARING

4. TAX EQUITY AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT (TEFRA) HEARING REGARDING APPROVAL OF THE ISSUANCE OF MULTI-FAMILY HOUSING REVENUE BONDS BY THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY FOR THE BENEFIT OF VALENTINE COURT, L.P., AND AUTHORIZE AND DIRECT EXECUTION OF A JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT WITH THE AUTHORITY. The City Council conducted a public hearing under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) and adopted a resolution approving the issuance of Bonds in the amount of $5,000,000 by Valentine Court, L.P., and authorized the Mayor, or designee thereof, to execute the Joint Exercise of Powers Agreement with the Authority.

Director of Administrative Services Visé gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. TEFRA requires a public hearing to be held by the governing body in the jurisdiction in which a project to be financed is located before the project financing is approved. Peoples' Self-Help Housing (PSHH) Corporation requested that the California Municipal Finance Authority (Authority) serve as the municipal issuer of Bonds in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed $5,000,000 of tax-exempt revenue bonds. The proceeds of the Bonds would be used for the acquisition, rehabilitation and development of a 35-unit, multi-family rental housing development (Valentine Court Apartments) located at 280 E. Newlove in the City of Santa Maria. The debt will be the sole responsibility of the PSHH and the City of Santa Maria will not bear any of the responsibility on repayment. The City of Santa Maria is required to enter into an agreement with the Authority in order for the Authority to issue the bonds. The City could, at any time, withdraw from the Authority following the issuance of the bonds.

Written communications.
There were no written communications.

Public Hearing
Mayor Patino opened the public hearing at 7:15 p.m., and immediately closed the public hearing having no requests to speak.

Council discussion.
Councilmember Zuniga asked about an issuance fee referred to on the agreement that would go into the City's General Fund.

Director of Administrative Services Visé explained that the amount was $3,000 which would help defray the cost of the application.

Councilmember Zuniga asked for clarification that the adoption of the agreement would make no promises from the City regarding permits or other development requirements.

Mr. Visé confirmed.

Councilmember Orach recalled several TEFRA projects had been approved in the City. The step of approving the agreement is just one of many that will take place to carry out the project.

Resolution No. 2014-117 was adopted approving, authorizing, and directing execution of a joint exercise of powers agreement relating to the California Municipal Finance Authority and approving the issuance of revenue bonds by the Authority for the purpose of financing or refinancing the acquisition and rehabilitation of certain facilities for the benefit of Valentine Court, L.P., or an affiliate thereof, on motion by Councilmember Boysen, seconded by Councilmember Orach, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES:	Councilmembers Boysen, Green, Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None
ABSTAIN:	None

Resolution No. 2014-117 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA APPROVING, AUTHORIZING, AND DIRECTING EXECUTION OF A JOINT EXERCISE OF POWERS AGREEMENT RELATING TO THE CALIFORNIA MUNICIPAL FINANCE AUTHORITY AND APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF REVENUE BONDS BY THE AUTHORITY FOR THE PURPOSE OF FINANCING OR REFINANCING THE ACQUISITION AND REHABILITATION OF CERTAIN FACILITIES FOR THE BENEFIT OF VALENTINE COURT, L.P., OR AN AFFILIATE THEREOF

5. TAX EQUITY AND FISCAL RESPONSIBILITY ACT (TEFRA) HEARING REGARDING THE ISSUANCE OF TAX-EXEMPT REVENUE NOTES BY THE CALIFORNIA STATEWIDE COMMUNITIES DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR THE BENEFIT OF CALIFORNIA SHOCK TRAUMA AIR RESCUE (CALSTAR). The City Council conducted a public hearing under the Tax Equity and Fiscal Responsibility Act (TEFRA) regarding the adoption of a resolution approving the issuance of tax-exempt obligations (revenue notes) by the California Statewide Communities Development Authority (CSCDA) for the benefit of California Shock Trauma Air Rescue (CALSTAR).

Director of Administrative Services Visé gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. CALSTAR is a local non-profit organization that provides transportation on a 24-hour basis to critically ill or injured patients by way of helicopter. CALSTAR has requested a plan of finance for the issuance of one or more series of Tax-Exempt Revenue Notes with the amount not to exceed $50 million. If the City approves the financing, the issuance of Notes may be completed by the CSCDA. The City would be under no obligation to repay the Note indebtedness.

Written communications.
There were no written communications.

Public Hearing
Mayor Patino opened the public hearing at 7:19 p.m., and immediately closed the public hearing having no requests to speak.

Council discussion
Councilmember Boysen asked if all agencies in the participating Counties were required to go through a public hearing.

Mr. Visé said all participants hold public hearings.

Councilmember Zuniga asked about the non-refundable application fee of $1,250 and how it defrays some of the costs associated with the process.

Mr. Vise said the costs were largely in relation to staff time, all of which would be covered by this amount.

City Manager Haydon said there were different costs with each contract that are based on the amount of work required for each item. The previous TEFRA hearing included entering into an agreement with the Authority, while this hearing requires no additional agreement. Therefore, the staff time is less.

Resolution No. 2014-118 was adopted approving the issuance of tax-exempt obligations by the California Statewide Communities Development Authority for California Shock Trauma Air Rescue on motion by Councilmember Orach, seconded by Councilmember Boysen, and carried on the following vote: 5-0

AYES:	Councilmembers Boysen, Green, Orach, Zuniga, and Mayor Patino
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None
ABSTAIN:	None

Resolution No. 2014-118 adopted. A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SANTA MARIA, CALIFORNIA, APPROVING THE ISSUANCE OF TAX-EXEMPT OBLIGATIONS BY THE CALIFORNIA STATEWIDE COMMUNITIES DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY FOR CALIFORNIA SHOCK TRAUMA AIR RESCUE

MAYOR PATINO CONVENED A JOINT MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND PLANNING COMMISSION.

CALL TO ORDER:
Mayor Patino called the joint meeting of the City Council and Planning Commission to order at 7:24 p.m.

ROLL CALL:
MEMBERS PRESENT
Councilmembers Boysen, Green, Orach, Zuniga, Mayor Patino; Planning Commissioners Brown, Dickerson, Quigley, Waterfield, and Commission Chair Andrade.

REGULAR BUSINESS

6. JOINT CITY COUNCIL AND PLANNING COMMISSION WORKSHOP REGARDING THE ENTRADA SPECIFIC PLAN. City Manager Haydon explained that a recent goal setting exercise identified two top priorities in the community: community aesthetics and revitalizing the downtown area. Both were taken into account when drafting the Council Agenda Reports for this joint workshop with regard to the Entrada Specific Plan (ESP) and Downtown Specific Plan (DTSP) being presented.

Director of Community Development Appel gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. The Entrada Plan was adopted in 1976 to ensure that the development along Broadway and Main Street was cohesive and created a community environment feel to the business district. He invited the public to share their opinions during the workshop.

<u>Topic - Street Trees and Landscaping</u>
Planning Division Manager Gilli presented the six discussion topics on the Entrada Plan. He began by emphasizing street trees and landscaping as a good resource to beautify the area. The trees planted in the City years ago were a variety that ultimately caused root damage to streets and sidewalks. The Recreation and Parks staff now has a list of trees to plant that will not cause root damage. Irrigation will also need to be taken into account as new trees are planted. Mr. Gilli pointed out that one of the questions this evening was whether or not street trees and landscaping should be a high priority.

<u>Public Input.</u>
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

<u>Council and Commissioners' Discussion.</u>
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that street trees and landscaping should be a priority as Main and Broadway are two main corridors in the City of Santa Maria, and provide a first impression of the City.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff focused on the kinds of trees being considered, that they not block businesses, and that staff collaborate with Caltrans for maintenance. A suggestion was made to consider something other than trees such as large potted plants which would allow room for benches and other landscaping. All property owners should be informed and kept in the loop regarding any landscaping decisions.

Topic - Maintenance-Level Improvements for Property Owners
Planning Division Manager Gilli introduced another topic regarding whether to require new tenants who apply for a business license to be responsible for beautifying their property.

Public Input.
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that it was important to work with commercial property owners, both past and present, and encourage them to take responsibility for their property.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff focused on a combination of being more proactive with current property owners based on the City's Code Compliance standards while working with new tenants to educate them and encourage them to take responsibility for their property. One suggestion was to consider an enhancement program similar to the Façade Program that had been in place in years past whereby a property owner would be reimbursed for a portion of the improvements to their property.

Topic – Building Encroachment into the Landscaped Front Setback
Planning Division Manager Gilli explained front setback encroachment and asked if there was support for taking each project individually instead of having a set plan for all projects.

Public Input.
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that taking each case individually was a good idea as long as pedestrian walkways were still taken into consideration and the setback encroachment did not interfere with street trees and Caltrans projects.

Direction to Staff.
Staff was advised to take landscaped front yard setbacks on a case-by-case basis.

Topic – Traditional Architectural Styles vs. Variety in Architectural Styles
Planning Division Manager Gilli asked if other traditional architectural styles should be allowed in the City or if Mission Style Spanish architecture should be the only style used.

Public Input.
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that diversity in architectural style would provide more variety and interest along the two corridors.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff were to diversify architectural styles but make sure the styles were cohesive and complemented each other.

Topic – Additional Building Colors other than Earth Tones
Planning Division Manager Gilli spoke about diversifying colors and asked for feedback on whether the City should allow the use of additional colors beyond earth tones.

Public Input.
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that they would allow additional building colors.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff focused on avoiding bright or florescent colors and leaning more toward subdued colors.

Topic – Administrative Action on Minor Permits
Planning Division Manager Gilli asked for opinions regarding whether the permit process should allow administrative action on minor permits. An applicant would still be allowed to appeal an administrative decision to the Planning Commission.

Public Input.
There were no requests to speak on this topic.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that the current review process could be amended to allow administrative action on minor permits. There was some concern about bypassing the Planning Commission and having problems later in a project.

Commissioners Dickerson, Waterfield, and Brown expressed concerns about bypassing the Planning Commission saying some applicants have benefited from meeting with both the staff and Planning Commission together. Also, potential problems with projects may be missed by allowing an applicant to bypass the Planning Commission.

City Manager Haydon reminded everyone that the applicant could appeal to the Planning Commission if they did not agree with an administrative decision.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff focused on streamlining the process for staff and saving time for the developer; with the understanding that there would be an appeal process in place.

Director of Community Development Appel said there were many projects that came before the Planning Commission that could have been taken care of at the administrative level. Larger, more complex projects would still be required to be brought before the Planning Commission. He also mentioned that there are many projects approved by the Planning Commission where no changes are made to the planners' conditions or findings. This shows that the planners are capable of evaluating and approving a greater number of projects at the Zoning Administrator level.

A suggestion was made that items approved at the administrative level be added to the Planning Commission Consent Calendar.

Public Input
Dave Cross, representing the Chamber of Commerce, spoke on the Commercial Façade Rebate and Loan Program. The program involved paint, signage, awnings and shutters, doors and windows, fencing, driveway and parking, exterior lighting, streetscaping and landscaping. The program was in place, but would need to be reinstated. The boundary of the program was under the Downtown Specific Plan, but could perhaps include the Entrada Specific Plan. He indicated that he believed reinstating the program would go a long way toward improving the aesthetics of both plans.

Mayor Patino called for a short recess at 8:52 p.m.

Mayor Patino reconvened the joint meeting of the City Council and Planning Commission at 9:01 p.m.

7. JOINT CITY COUNCIL AND PLANNING COMMISSION WORKSHOP REGARDING THE DOWNTOWN SPECIFIC PLAN. Director of Community Development Appel gave a presentation as detailed in the Council Agenda Report. He explained the Downtown Plan was written more than 30 years after the Entrada Plan and took into account more thorough information regarding land use. The goal of the Downtown Plan was to welcome businesses and create an interesting and walkable area for residents.

Topic – Flexible Regulations to Expand Opportunities for Business and Property Owners, and Flexible Methods to Achieve an Interesting, Welcoming, Walkable Environment
Planning Division Manager Gilli stated that, currently, the plan lacked options to make limited improvements on property owners' personal property. He asked for opinions on whether the plan should be amended to provide more flexible regulations for property owners and more flexible regulations in creating an inviting environment.

Public Input.
Greg Jordan, business owner in the Downtown Specific Area, spoke in favor of increased flexibility in both areas and suggested that staff meet with business owners in the area to hear their ideas and receive feedback before any changes were made.

Brian Schwartz, representing Urban Planning Concepts, also spoke in support of increasing the flexibility of regulations.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council to support flexibility, but staff was encouraged to consider the property owners and get their input while taking into consideration who and what Santa Maria is instead of looking into what works for other communities.

There were concerns by Councilmembers Zuniga, Orach, and Commissioner Dickerson about the extent the City was flexible; that the City needed to balance being business friendly with the City's needs.

Councilmember Boysen expressed his frustration with the Downtown Specific Plan, and pointed out the demographics of the City had changed over the six years the Plan had been in place. He and Commissioner Waterfield agreed that the needs should be based on the current demographics and economy. He also indicated that it may be time to revise the Plan entirely.

Commission Chair Andrade disclosed that he worked within the Bungalow District but, since there were no decisions being made this evening, he would not be recusing himself from the discussion. He indicated that he agreed with both items and increasing the flexibility in developing the downtown area.

Mr. Gilli explained the current Downtown Specific Plan took four years from the point of inception to the point of adoption. The Community Development Department was looking to add amendments to it to make it a more usable plan in the immediate future.

Director of Community Development Appel stated that as the Plan matured, the Community Development Department wanted to be sensitive to the City's changes to make the Plan work.

Direction to Staff.
The comments and direction to staff focused on working with the property owners and seeking their input, balancing the City's needs with being business friendly, and that consideration may need to be given to starting over with the Plan based upon the demographics and the economy.

Topic – Proposed Review Process
Planning Division Manager Gilli indicated that the next topic involved changing the review process so that it ensured an attractive and welcoming environment while being as business-friendly as possible. All buildings over 5,000 feet are required to go before the City Council for approval with the Planning Commission's recommendation. Buildings under 5,000 square feet may be approved by Planning Commission action or Zoning Administrator action.

Mr. Gilli stated the proposed review process would consider the location of a building in town instead of its size. He reviewed a map of the City that identified the Critical Corners (Broadway and Main, Main and Miller, Broadway and Cook) and Major Corridors (Broadway and Main). If a building were being considered on a Critical Corner, regardless of size or whether it went before Council or the Planning Commission, it would require a public hearing. Smaller projects off the Major Corridor would be subject to Zoning Administrator approval. Items may still be required to go before the City Council or the Planning Commission at the discretion of the Community Development Director or City Manager due a sensitive nature of a project or potential for controversy.

Public Input
Brian Schwartz representing Urban Planning Concepts commented that no developer wants to go through additional review, but in his experience some projects have benefited from the additional review. He suggested that the smaller projects, 2,000-5,000 square feet in size, could be streamlined.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that they would support the review process changes.

Direction to Staff
The comments and direction to staff were in support of the new proposed review process.

Topic – Financial Incentives
Planning Division Manager Gilli spoke about financial incentives staff perceived as necessary to encourage downtown investment and create a competitive advantage for developing and starting businesses in the area.

Public Input
Brian Schwartz, representing Urban Planning Concepts, said other cities do incentives for downtown revitalization. He went on to say that the DTSP has a recommendation in it to evaluate a separate Growth Mitigation Fee schedule for the downtown. Thus, the downtown would have a separate schedule of lower growth mitigation fees. He encouraged the Council and Planning Commission to direct staff to include those types of studies in the upcoming Growth Mitigation Fee analysis.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that they would support financial incentives for the Downtown Area.

Direction to Staff
The comments and direction to staff focused on the need for reasonable incentives to generate new businesses to the Downtown and to take a look at possible Growth Mitigation Fee incentives during the upcoming Growth Mitigation Fee Program Update. Council stated that as part of the analysis, the incentives should not have any unintended financial consequences, should be based on its impact to other infrastructure, and should not be on-going but rather for a specific period of time. Staff was also asked to keep Title 24 (energy standards for new and altered homes) in mind when drafting the financial incentives.

Topic – Streetscape Concepts
Planning Division Manager Gilli identified possible ways to update the streetscape on Broadway and Main to create a more interesting, welcoming, and walkable environment. Placement of trees and small landscaping in pockets could make the area more desirable to walk through.

Public Input
Sheri Jordan said that initially she was against the streetscape idea until she heard the presentation this evening and was now in support of the idea. She reminded everyone that Santa Maria is an agricultural based community. She encouraged property owners to upgrade with paint. She said she also believed that, while Santa Maria probably would not make a great walking district, it had the potential to be a destination location.

Brian Schwartz, representing Urban Planning Concepts, agreed with Ms. Jordan. He went on to say Developer Gary Grossman has a very good vision for the area. He was optimistic Santa Maria could be a great focal destination point.

Ken Hough, representing the Santa Barbara County Action Network, commented that he looked forward to the day when he might sit at an outdoor café in town. He spoke about pedestrian safety issues and the larger size of the streets in town, particularly the limited striped or lighted crossings on Main Street. He asked that staff work with Caltrans to make the streets safer for pedestrians.

Council and Commissioners' Discussion.
There was general consensus of the Planning Commission and City Council that they would support commencing with streetscape concepts for Broadway and Main Street.

Direction to Staff
The comments and direction to staff encouraged them to begin the process of designing streetscaping projects.

Councilmember Boysen said he believed streetscaping was key to the DTSP and should be the starting point for improvements. He also suggested banning semi-trucks in the downtown to make it more appealing to residents of the City.

Director of Community Development Appel invited Mr. Jordan to join the Downtown Merchants' Committee. They meet the 3rd Thursday of each month at MIYB on East Main at 7:30 a.m.

Mayor Patino adjourned the joint meeting of the City Council and Planning Commission, and continued to the regular City Council meeting at 10:22 p.m.

REPORT BY CITY MANAGER:
City Manager Haydon reported on items scheduled for the next City Council meeting on October 21, 2014, to include the Library Volunteer of the Month, two Proclamations, three No-Stopping Zones, the second reading of the Downtown Specific Plan Car Wash Ordinance, and a presentation by the Santa Maria-Bonita School District on Measure T.

ORAL REPORTS OF COUNCILMEMBERS AND OFFICERS (INCLUDING AB1234 REPORTS):
Councilmember Zuniga reported that she attended a C3H Policy Council meeting, and she encouraged people to sign up for the upcoming workshop, with the author of *To Transform a City*, being held at the First Christian Church on October 18. She also invited the public to the Memorial Walk and Awareness Fair in Preisker Park on October 11.

Councilmember Orach requested a budget report from Councilmembers Boysen and Zuniga on the C3H Committee. He reported he attended a LAFCO meeting recently.

Councilmember Green reported that he attended the ribbon cutting ceremony at Lineage Logistics, a Fighting Back Santa Maria Board meeting, and the opening of the Native Plant Garden at the Natural History Museum.

Mayor Patino reported that she attended the quarterly meeting of the Santa Maria Public Airport District; the SBCAG monthly board meeting; the Lyons Celebration at the Mall; the Stand Down Training; a forum at the La Maria Mobile Home Park; the Lineage Logistics ribbon cutting; the League of Women Voters Candidate forum; the Economic Action Summit; the Literacy Spelling Bee; the Chamber of Commerce Coordination Meeting; the North County Subregional Meeting; the Mayor's luncheon; a meeting to discuss the possibility of being a sister city to a South Korea city; a meeting with Phil Alvarado to discuss Measure T; the quarterly meeting with the SB County Mayors and Managers; the Autumn Arts Grapes & Grains event; the ribbon cutting for the Natural History Museum; the Ben Heighes radio show; and a Rotary meeting.

Councilmember Boysen reported that he attended a CCWA Board meeting.

ADJOURNMENT:
There being no further business before the City Council, Mayor Patino declared the City Council meeting adjourned at 10:30 p.m.

APPROVED:

October 21, 2014
Date

Rhonda M. Garietz
Chief Deputy City Clerk of the City of Santa Maria and ex officio Clerk of the City Council

Alice M. Patino
Mayor of the City of Santa Maria

Adrian Andrade
Chair of the Planning Commission

ATTEST:

Rhonda M. Garietz, CMC
Chief Deputy City Clerk & Recording Secretary